



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,377	,377 08/24/2001		Esa Wahlroos	04770.00020	3900
22907	7590	05/05/2004		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF 1001 G STREET N W				LEE, MICHAEL	
SUITE 1100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				2614	0
				DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

		Λ.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/939,377	WAHLROOS, ESA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. Lee	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 At</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 14-18,22 and 24 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7, 12-13, 19-21, 23, 25-34 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8-11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)
 Notice of References Cled (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.8. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 12, 13, 19-21, 23, 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yifrach (5,329,320).

Regarding claim 1, Yifrach (5,329,320) discloses an instant replay system showing an antenna 11 for receiving a broadcast signal, which meets the receiving step as claimed, a input circuit 12 for demodulating RF signal into video and audio signals, which meets the converting step as claimed, an audio and video circuit 13 for transmitting the video and audio signal to a display 15 and speaker 14, respectively, which meets the transmitting step as claimed, a freeze button 32 for providing a capture command to logic 31, which meets the receiving step as claimed, and a RAM 30 for storing a video frame in response to the capture command, which meets the storing step as claimed, except the RAM is not a non-volatile memory as claimed. It is well known in the art that RAM memories can be made of non-volatile memories, such as FRAM (Ferroelectrics Random Access Memory) and NVRAM (Non-Volatile Random Access Memory). Since the RAM in Yifrach can be any conventional RAM, it would

Art Unit: 2614

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ FRAM or NVRAM as the non-volatile memory as claimed.

Regarding claim 2, the decompressor 24 in Yifrach converts a frozen picture signal into a television frame signal, which meets the converting step as claimed.

Regarding claim 4, the freezing and capturing operations in Yifrach can be repetitively operated.

Regarding claim 5, the decompressor 24 and audio and video circuit 13 can be repetitively operated to generate more than one picture frames by respectively pressing the freeze button. Hence, the converting step and the transmitting step are met by the decompressor 24 and circuit 13, respectively.

Regarding claim 6, Yifrach states that the storage device 30 stores both the video and audio signals when the freeze button 32 is pressed. The claimed receiving and storing steps are met by Yifrach because the digital audio and video signals in Yifrach are needed to be identified properly in order to be stored in the storage device 30.

Regarding claim 7, the playback mode in Yifrach meets the transmitting steps as claimed (see col. 5, lines 12-24).

Regarding claim 12, the decompressor 24 is a computing device.

Regarding claim 13, the freeze mode in Yifrach is operated during the reception of video signal.

Regarding claims 19-21, 23, in addition of rejection to claim 1, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to

more cost effective than hardware implementation.

implement Yifrach's instant replay system by a computer software so that the system could be carried out by a conventional computer system which includes a central processing unit, a memory module for storing the software and an interface for coupling the computer system with the television receiver because software implementation is

Page 4

Regarding claim 26, in addition of above rejections, Yifrach further shows a terminal display (15) for displaying the captured image, except the application selection item as claimed. The examiner takes Official Notice that application selection function in a computer system is well known in the art. For instance, different images can be arbitrarily employed or selected as an application icon. The selection of the images would have been an obvious design choice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the captured picture frame as an application selection item to perform the well known functions as claimed.

Regarding claim 27, Yifrach does not specify the reducing step as claimed. The examiner takes Official Notice that image reducing or enlarging operation is well known in the art. For instance, television format converters employ image size converter for converting one standard to another so that received image with different sizes can fit into the screen of a television receiver. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the reducing step into Yifrach so that different image sizes can fit into the display screen.

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 28, the captured image in Yifrach inherently includes an icon because the captured image could be anything. For instance, it could be a television signal with a station logo on the bottom corners of the screen.

Regarding claims 29 and 30, Yifrach does not specify the displaying step for displaying the picture frame as an icon on a navigation bar. The examiner takes Official Notice that displaying different icons on a navigation bar is well known in the art in order to facilitate the user to recognize a particular task virtually. The icon selection would have considered an obvious design choice. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the captured frame image as an icon on a navigation bar to perform the well known functions as claimed.

Regarding claim 31, Yifrach does not specify the step of receiving a capture command from a mobile phone terminal. In any event, the freeze button 32 in Yifrach could be controlled by any means. For instance, it could be controlled by the user either remotely or locally. When controlled remotely, the controller could be any conventional remote control device such as a cellular phone. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a cellular phone into Yifrach so that the freeze button could be controlled remotely.

Regarding claim 32, being in a computer system configuration, the freeze button 32 is considered part of a computer terminal.

Regarding claim 33, in addition of rejection to claim 31, the cellular phone could be substituted with a remote control or any conventional radio control device.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/939,377

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 34, the received video signal is accompanied with audio signal.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yifrach (5,329,320) as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Cuccia (6,295,094).

Regarding claim 3, Yifrach does not specify the monitoring step, the identifying step, and the using step as claimed. Cuccia, from the similar field of endeavor, discloses an instant replay system showing the monitoring step (col. 3, lines 55-57), the identifying step and the using step (col. 4, lines 12-19). By using such steps, MPEG encoded video signals can be replayed and captured efficiently since the steps are intended to located the I-frames (complete data frames) of the incoming or stored video signal. Since the compressor 22 in Yifrach could be made any conventional compressor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a MPEG encoder as the compressor. When a MPEG encoder is employed in Yifrach, only I-frames can be captured into the RAM since only I-frames carry complete frame data. In order to recognize the I-frames, the steps as disclosed by Cuccia above would have to be utilized. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made. when a MPEG encoder is used as the compressor 22, to include the monitor step, the identifying step, and the using steps of Cuccia into Yifrach to perform the well known functions as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,377 Page 7

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yifrach (5,329,320).

Regarding claim 25, Yifrach shows a means for receiving (11), a means for converting (12), a means for transmitting (13), a means for receiving (31), and means for storing (30).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 8-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 14-18, 22 and 24 are allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art does not teach or suggest the step of receiving information that associates an event and the portion of the digital audio stream, and the step of retrieving the portion of the digital audio stream from the memory when the event takes places as recited in claims 14, 22, and the dividing step, the generating step, the receiving step, the retrieving step as recited in claim 24.

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Schaefer et al. (6,490,000) shows a buffer.

Satake et al. (5,317,399) shows a freeze switch.

Inoue et al. (5,729,280) shows an instant replay system.

Russel et al. (4,855,813) shows a video frame capture means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number is **703-305-4743**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John Miller**, can be reached at **703-305-4795**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

M. Lee

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

April 30, 2004